



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Mar 5, 2026 – 11:49 AM UTC

PDB ID : 2JSB / pdb\_00002jsb  
BMRB ID : 15359  
Title : Solution structure of arenicin-1  
Authors : Jakovkin, I.B.; Hecht, O.; Gelhaus, C.; Krasnosdembskaya, A.D.; Fedders, H.;  
Leippe, M.; Groetzinger, J.  
Deposited on : 2007-07-02

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4-5-2 with Phenix2.0  
Percentile statistics : 20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.49


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 41%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
Ramachandran outliers	224038	12848
Sidechain outliers	223484	12823

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	21	 57% 24% 10% 10%

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 1 models. Identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible.

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 194 atoms, of which 0 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Arenicin-1.


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	N	O	S	
1	A	21	194	127	41	24	2	0

## 4 Residue-property plots

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Arenicin-1

Chain A: 



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 500 calculated structures, 1 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	143
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	0
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	143
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	41%

## 6 Model quality [i](#)

### 6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0	2
All	All	0	2

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group
1	A	5	TYR	Sidechain
1	A	17	TYR	Sidechain

### 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	194	0	199	4
All	All	194	0	199	4

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 10.

All clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)
1:A:4:VAL:HG22	1:A:21:TRP:HH2	0.60	1.57
1:A:4:VAL:HG22	1:A:21:TRP:CH2	0.52	2.39

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)
1:A:17:TYR:CG	1:A:18:ARG:N	0.43	2.86
1:A:8:VAL:HG22	1:A:9:ARG:H	0.43	1.73

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	19/21 (90%)	12 (63%)	6 (32%)	1 (5%)	2	22
All	All	19/21 (90%)	12 (63%)	6 (32%)	1 (5%)	2	22

All 1 Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	A	8	VAL

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	19/19 (100%)	13 (68%)	6 (32%)	1	14
All	All	19/19 (100%)	13 (68%)	6 (32%)	1	14

All 6 residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	A	4	VAL
1	A	8	VAL
1	A	14	LEU
1	A	15	VAL

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	A	17	TYR
1	A	21	TRP

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation i

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 41% for the well-defined parts and 41% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping i

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	143
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	0
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	143
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

- No matching atom found in the structure. All 143 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	1	ARG	HA	4.058	?	1
1	A	1	ARG	HB2	1.694	?	2
1	A	1	ARG	HD2	2.996	?	2
1	A	1	ARG	HE	7.149	?	1
1	A	1	ARG	HG2	1.456	?	2
1	A	2	TRP	H	8.553	?	1
1	A	2	TRP	HA	4.655	?	1
1	A	2	TRP	HB2	2.643	?	2
1	A	2	TRP	HB3	2.316	?	2
1	A	2	TRP	HD1	6.844	?	1
1	A	2	TRP	HE1	9.986	?	4
1	A	2	TRP	HE3	7.369	?	4
1	A	2	TRP	HH2	7.055	?	1
1	A	2	TRP	HZ2	7.314	?	4

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	3	CYS	H	7.998	?	1
1	A	3	CYS	HA	5.521	?	1
1	A	3	CYS	HB2	2.656	?	2
1	A	3	CYS	HB3	2.311	?	2
1	A	4	VAL	H	8.978	?	1
1	A	4	VAL	HA	4.398	?	1
1	A	4	VAL	HB	2.211	?	1
1	A	4	VAL	HG11	1.008	?	4
1	A	4	VAL	HG12	1.008	?	4
1	A	4	VAL	HG13	1.008	?	4
1	A	4	VAL	HG21	0.952	?	4
1	A	4	VAL	HG22	0.952	?	4
1	A	4	VAL	HG23	0.952	?	4
1	A	5	TYR	H	8.458	?	1
1	A	5	TYR	HA	5.087	?	1
1	A	5	TYR	HB2	2.684	?	2
1	A	5	TYR	HB3	2.443	?	2
1	A	5	TYR	HD1	6.88	?	3
1	A	5	TYR	HE1	6.657	?	3
1	A	6	ALA	H	8.823	?	1
1	A	6	ALA	HA	4.498	?	1
1	A	6	ALA	HB1	1.032	?	1
1	A	6	ALA	HB2	1.032	?	1
1	A	6	ALA	HB3	1.032	?	1
1	A	7	TYR	H	8.512	?	1
1	A	7	TYR	HA	5.585	?	1
1	A	7	TYR	HB2	2.735	?	2
1	A	7	TYR	HB3	2.688	?	2
1	A	7	TYR	HD1	6.918	?	3
1	A	7	TYR	HE1	6.516	?	3
1	A	8	VAL	H	9.229	?	1
1	A	8	VAL	HA	4.5	?	1
1	A	8	VAL	HB	2.01	?	1
1	A	8	VAL	HG11	0.833	?	4
1	A	8	VAL	HG12	0.833	?	4
1	A	8	VAL	HG13	0.833	?	4
1	A	8	VAL	HG21	0.794	?	4
1	A	8	VAL	HG22	0.794	?	4
1	A	8	VAL	HG23	0.794	?	4
1	A	9	ARG	H	8.692	?	1
1	A	9	ARG	HA	4.49	?	1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	9	ARG	HB2	1.606	?	2
1	A	9	ARG	HD2	3.0	?	2
1	A	9	ARG	HE	7.338	?	1
1	A	9	ARG	HG2	1.302	?	2
1	A	10	VAL	H	9.249	?	1
1	A	10	VAL	HA	4.12	?	1
1	A	10	VAL	HB	1.917	?	1
1	A	10	VAL	HG11	0.862	?	2
1	A	10	VAL	HG12	0.862	?	2
1	A	10	VAL	HG13	0.862	?	2
1	A	11	ARG	H	9.613	?	1
1	A	11	ARG	HA	3.808	?	1
1	A	11	ARG	HB2	1.959	?	2
1	A	11	ARG	HB3	1.831	?	2
1	A	11	ARG	HD2	3.225	?	2
1	A	11	ARG	HE	7.238	?	1
1	A	11	ARG	HG2	1.57	?	2
1	A	12	GLY	H	8.505	?	1
1	A	12	GLY	HA2	4.188	?	2
1	A	12	GLY	HA3	3.501	?	2
1	A	13	VAL	H	7.804	?	1
1	A	13	VAL	HA	4.205	?	1
1	A	13	VAL	HB	2.046	?	1
1	A	13	VAL	HG11	0.87	?	2
1	A	13	VAL	HG12	0.87	?	2
1	A	13	VAL	HG13	0.87	?	2
1	A	14	LEU	H	8.69	?	1
1	A	14	LEU	HA	4.497	?	1
1	A	14	LEU	HB2	1.477	?	2
1	A	14	LEU	HD11	0.712	?	4
1	A	14	LEU	HD12	0.712	?	4
1	A	14	LEU	HD13	0.712	?	4
1	A	14	LEU	HD21	0.654	?	4
1	A	14	LEU	HD22	0.654	?	4
1	A	14	LEU	HD23	0.654	?	4
1	A	15	VAL	H	9.423	?	1
1	A	15	VAL	HA	4.244	?	1
1	A	15	VAL	HB	2.08	?	1
1	A	15	VAL	HG11	0.911	?	4
1	A	15	VAL	HG12	0.911	?	4
1	A	15	VAL	HG13	0.911	?	4

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	15	VAL	HG21	0.808	?	4
1	A	15	VAL	HG22	0.808	?	4
1	A	15	VAL	HG23	0.808	?	4
1	A	16	ARG	H	8.491	?	1
1	A	16	ARG	HA	4.588	?	1
1	A	16	ARG	HB2	1.64	?	2
1	A	16	ARG	HB3	1.399	?	2
1	A	16	ARG	HD2	2.716	?	2
1	A	16	ARG	HD3	2.443	?	2
1	A	16	ARG	HE	6.67	?	1
1	A	16	ARG	HG2	1.136	?	2
1	A	16	ARG	HG3	0.861	?	2
1	A	17	TYR	H	9.263	?	1
1	A	17	TYR	HA	4.585	?	1
1	A	17	TYR	HB2	2.856	?	2
1	A	17	TYR	HD1	6.905	?	3
1	A	17	TYR	HE1	6.704	?	3
1	A	18	ARG	H	8.666	?	1
1	A	18	ARG	HA	4.49	?	1
1	A	18	ARG	HB2	1.638	?	2
1	A	18	ARG	HB3	1.39	?	2
1	A	18	ARG	HD2	2.852	?	2
1	A	18	ARG	HE	6.913	?	1
1	A	18	ARG	HG2	1.211	?	2
1	A	18	ARG	HG3	0.946	?	2
1	A	19	ARG	H	8.824	?	1
1	A	19	ARG	HA	4.501	?	1
1	A	19	ARG	HB2	1.628	?	2
1	A	19	ARG	HB3	1.458	?	2
1	A	19	ARG	HD2	3.042	?	2
1	A	19	ARG	HD3	2.97	?	2
1	A	19	ARG	HE	6.946	?	1
1	A	19	ARG	HG2	1.31	?	2
1	A	20	CYS	H	8.529	?	1
1	A	20	CYS	HA	5.738	?	1
1	A	20	CYS	HB2	2.843	?	2
1	A	20	CYS	HB3	2.696	?	2
1	A	21	TRP	H	9.028	?	1
1	A	21	TRP	HA	4.629	?	1
1	A	21	TRP	HB2	3.258	?	2
1	A	21	TRP	HB3	3.068	?	2

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	21	TRP	HD1	7.118	?	1
1	A	21	TRP	HE1	9.872	?	4
1	A	21	TRP	HE3	7.199	?	4
1	A	21	TRP	HH2	6.868	?	1
1	A	21	TRP	HZ2	7.203	?	4
1	A	21	TRP	HZ3	7.012	?	4

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 41%, i.e. 143 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 351. 0 out of 6 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	42/106 (40%)	42/43 (98%)	0/42 (0%)	0/21 (0%)
Sidechain	84/196 (43%)	84/127 (66%)	0/51 (0%)	0/18 (0%)
Aromatic	17/49 (35%)	17/24 (71%)	0/25 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	143/351 (41%)	143/194 (74%)	0/118 (0%)	0/39 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 41%, i.e. 143 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 351. 0 out of 6 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	42/106 (40%)	42/43 (98%)	0/42 (0%)	0/21 (0%)
Sidechain	84/196 (43%)	84/127 (66%)	0/51 (0%)	0/18 (0%)
Aromatic	17/49 (35%)	17/24 (71%)	0/25 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	143/351 (41%)	143/194 (74%)	0/118 (0%)	0/39 (0%)

### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

