



wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report ⓘ

Mar 4, 2026 – 11:06 PM UTC

PDB ID : 2LDT / pdb_00002ldt
BMRB ID : 17682
Title : The 912-888 alternate conformation for helix 27 of E.coli 16S rRNA
Authors : Spano, M.N.; Walter, N.G.
Deposited on : 2011-06-02

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4-5-2 with Phenix2.0
Percentile statistics : 20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.49


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 34%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
RNA backbone	8273	777

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	31	

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 13 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.

3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1002 atoms, of which 339 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a RNA chain called RNA (31-MER).


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	P	
1	A	31	1002	297	339	125	211	30	0

4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


- Molecule 1: RNA (31-MER)



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: RNA (31-MER)



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *torsion angle dynamics*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 13 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
XPLOR-NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	5
Total number of shifts	213
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	213
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	34%

6 Model quality i

6.1 Standard geometry i

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z| > 5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.81±0.02	1±1/742 (0.1± 0.1%)	0.85±0.02	0±1/1156 (0.0± 0.1%)
All	All	0.81	7/9646 (0.1%)	0.85	6/15028 (0.0%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	2.9±1.5
All	All	0	38

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	21	U	C4'-C3'	-9.91	1.38	1.53	8	4
1	A	13	C	C4'-C3'	-6.70	1.43	1.53	9	2
1	A	16	A	C4'-C3'	-5.03	1.45	1.53	4	1

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	21	U	C4'-C3'-C2'	5.77	108.37	102.60	1	2
1	A	23	A	C4'-C3'-C2'	5.10	107.70	102.60	7	1
1	A	14	G	C4'-C3'-C2'	-5.08	97.52	102.60	11	2
1	A	10	G	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.01	109.01	104.00	7	1

There are no chirality outliers.

5 of 9 unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in

the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	16	A	Sidechain	10
1	A	14	G	Sidechain	10
1	A	1	G	Sidechain	5
1	A	25	A	Sidechain	5
1	A	10	G	Sidechain	2

6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	663	339	339	63±7
All	All	8619	4407	4407	818

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 63.

5 of 242 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:9:C:O2'	1:A:10:G:H5'	1.08	1.45	12	4
1:A:16:A:O2'	1:A:17:A:OP2	1.05	1.74	7	12
1:A:23:A:H1'	1:A:24:A:OP2	1.03	1.53	7	1
1:A:21:U:O2'	1:A:22:A:H2'	0.98	1.56	12	2
1:A:14:G:N2	1:A:16:A:O2'	0.97	1.97	9	13

6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Backbone Outliers	Pucker Outliers	Suiteness
1	A	30/31 (97%)	7±0 (23±0%)	1±1 (3±3%)	0.61±0.00
All	All	390/403 (97%)	91 (23%)	12 (3%)	0.61

The overall RNA backbone suiteness is 0.58.

5 of 7 unique RNA backbone outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	8	A	13
1	A	10	G	13
1	A	14	G	13
1	A	16	A	13
1	A	17	A	13

All unique RNA pucker outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	15	C	6
1	A	6	G	3
1	A	8	A	1
1	A	23	A	1
1	A	9	C	1

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 34% for the well-defined parts and 34% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_3*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	8
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	8
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	8

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 1%, i.e. 8 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 599. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Sugar	0/341 (0%)	0/186 (0%)	0/155 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	8/258 (3%)	0/157 (0%)	0/52 (0%)	8/49 (16%)
Overall	8/599 (1%)	0/343 (0%)	0/207 (0%)	8/49 (16%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules con-

taining paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	25	A	N1	153.87	163.72 – 276.23	-5.9
1	A	23	A	N1	154.21	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
1	A	8	A	N1	154.96	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
1	A	22	A	N1	154.93	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
1	A	5	A	N1	155.12	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
1	A	16	A	N1	155.21	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
1	A	17	A	N1	155.62	163.72 – 276.23	-5.7
1	A	24	A	N1	155.73	163.72 – 276.23	-5.7

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_4*

7.2.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	8
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	8
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	8

7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 1%, i.e. 8 atoms were assigned a chemical

shift out of a possible 599. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Sugar	0/341 (0%)	0/186 (0%)	0/155 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	8/258 (3%)	0/157 (0%)	0/52 (0%)	8/49 (16%)
Overall	8/599 (1%)	0/343 (0%)	0/207 (0%)	8/49 (16%)

7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
2	A	25	A	N1	153.87	163.72 – 276.23	-5.9
2	A	23	A	N1	154.21	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
2	A	8	A	N1	154.96	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
2	A	22	A	N1	154.93	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
2	A	5	A	N1	155.12	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
2	A	16	A	N1	155.21	163.72 – 276.23	-5.8
2	A	17	A	N1	155.62	163.72 – 276.23	-5.7
2	A	24	A	N1	155.73	163.72 – 276.23	-5.7

7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.3 Chemical shift list 3

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_5*

7.3.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	31
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	31
Number of unparsed shifts	0

Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.3.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.3.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 5%, i.e. 31 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 591. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Sugar	0/341 (0%)	0/186 (0%)	0/155 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	31/250 (12%)	0/157 (0%)	31/52 (60%)	0/41 (0%)
Overall	31/591 (5%)	0/343 (0%)	31/207 (15%)	0/41 (0%)

7.3.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.3.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.4 Chemical shift list 4

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_2*

7.4.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	8
------------------------	---

Number of shifts mapped to atoms	8
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.4.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.4.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 1%, i.e. 8 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 591. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Sugar	0/341 (0%)	0/186 (0%)	0/155 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	8/250 (3%)	0/157 (0%)	8/52 (15%)	0/41 (0%)
Overall	8/591 (1%)	0/343 (0%)	8/207 (4%)	0/41 (0%)

7.4.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.4.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.5 Chemical shift list 5

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_6*

7.5.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	158
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	158
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.5.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.5.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 26%, i.e. 154 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 591. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Sugar	77/341 (23%)	77/186 (41%)	0/155 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	77/250 (31%)	77/157 (49%)	0/52 (0%)	0/41 (0%)
Overall	154/591 (26%)	154/343 (45%)	0/207 (0%)	0/41 (0%)

7.5.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
5	A	18	G	H1'	3.83	4.09 – 7.23	-5.8

7.5.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

8 NMR restraints analysis

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	450
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	180
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	154
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	7
Long range ($ i-j \geq 5$)	40
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	69
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	278
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	23.5
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	3.2

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	7.3	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	23.7	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	19.6	2.47

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model [i](#)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	None	None
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	7.2	93.08

9 Distance violation analysis i


9.1 Summary of distance violations i

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
Intra-residue ($i-j =0$)	180	40.0	10	5.6	2.2	4	2.2	0.9
Backbone-Backbone	35	7.8	1	2.9	0.2	1	2.9	0.2
Backbone-Sidechain	97	21.6	9	9.3	2.0	3	3.1	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	48	10.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ($i-j =1$)	154	34.2	49	31.8	10.9	21	13.6	4.7
Backbone-Backbone	21	4.7	12	57.1	2.7	8	38.1	1.8
Backbone-Sidechain	98	21.8	30	30.6	6.7	11	11.2	2.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	35	7.8	7	20.0	1.6	2	5.7	0.4
Medium range ($i-j >1$ & $i-j <5$)	7	1.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	5	1.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($i-j \geq 5$)	40	8.9	14	35.0	3.1	8	20.0	1.8
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	7	1.6	5	71.4	1.1	2	28.6	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	33	7.3	9	27.3	2.0	6	18.2	1.3
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	69	15.3	6	8.7	1.3	5	7.2	1.1
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	450	100.0	79	17.6	17.6	38	8.4	8.4
Backbone-Backbone	56	12.4	13	23.2	2.9	9	16.1	2.0
Backbone-Sidechain	208	46.2	45	21.6	10.0	17	8.2	3.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	186	41.3	21	11.3	4.7	12	6.5	2.7

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
1	7	29	5	11	0	52	0.62	2.44	0.6	0.42
2	8	28	5	12	0	53	0.57	2.43	0.59	0.4
3	7	29	5	10	0	51	0.58	2.43	0.6	0.38
4	6	29	5	11	0	51	0.64	2.43	0.61	0.4
5	7	30	5	12	0	54	0.63	2.45	0.6	0.42
6	6	31	5	12	0	54	0.58	2.42	0.58	0.36
7	6	32	5	9	0	52	0.59	2.47	0.53	0.46
8	5	27	5	11	0	48	0.66	2.42	0.63	0.43
9	6	27	5	8	0	46	0.67	2.45	0.6	0.46
10	9	29	5	10	0	53	0.6	2.43	0.58	0.4


Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations					Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵					
11	7	25	5	9	0	46	0.69	2.44	0.6	0.46
12	5	30	5	9	0	49	0.57	2.44	0.58	0.37
13	7	31	5	8	0	51	0.63	2.46	0.6	0.42

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints

for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 308(IR:170, SQ:105, MR:7, LR:26, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	6	0	1	0	7	1	7.7
3	9	0	0	0	12	2	15.4
0	3	0	1	0	4	3	23.1
0	1	0	1	0	2	4	30.8
0	3	0	2	0	5	5	38.5
0	1	0	0	0	1	6	46.2
1	1	0	0	0	2	7	53.8
0	0	0	1	0	1	8	61.5
1	2	0	0	0	3	9	69.2
0	1	0	0	0	1	10	76.9
0	1	0	0	0	1	11	84.6
1	0	0	0	0	1	12	92.3
4	21	0	8	0	33	13	100.0

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations


9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [\(i\)](#)



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models ¹	Mean (Å)	SD ¹ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,62)	1:14:A:G:H21	1:17:A:A:OP1	13	2.42	0.02	2.42
(1,63)	1:14:A:G:H1	1:17:A:A:OP1	13	2.39	0.14	2.42
(1,64)	1:14:A:G:N2	1:17:A:A:OP1	13	2.39	0.03	2.38
(1,65)	1:14:A:G:N1	1:17:A:A:OP1	13	2.19	0.11	2.22
(3,49)	1:22:A:A:H62	1:9:A:C:H6	13	1.7	0.28	1.78
(3,178)	1:10:A:G:H4'	1:9:A:C:H6	13	0.93	0.31	1.05
(3,186)	1:16:A:A:H1'	1:17:A:A:H2	13	0.86	0.14	0.9
(3,48)	1:3:A:G:H22	1:28:A:C:H42	13	0.84	0.03	0.84
(3,326)	1:1:A:G:H2'	1:1:A:G:H8	13	0.84	0.02	0.85

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models ¹	Mean (Å)	SD ¹ (Å)	Median (Å)
(3,47)	1:13:A:C:H42	1:19:A:G:H22	13	0.76	0.06	0.77

¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,64)	1:14:A:G:N2	1:17:A:A:OP1	7	2.47
(1,62)	1:14:A:G:H21	1:17:A:A:OP1	7	2.47
(1,63)	1:14:A:G:H1	1:17:A:A:OP1	13	2.46
(1,63)	1:14:A:G:H1	1:17:A:A:OP1	9	2.45

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,62)	1:14:A:G:H21	1:17:A:A:OP1	5	2.45
(1,63)	1:14:A:G:H1	1:17:A:A:OP1	12	2.44
(1,62)	1:14:A:G:H21	1:17:A:A:OP1	1	2.44
(1,62)	1:14:A:G:H21	1:17:A:A:OP1	11	2.44
(1,63)	1:14:A:G:H1	1:17:A:A:OP1	3	2.43
(1,63)	1:14:A:G:H1	1:17:A:A:OP1	4	2.43

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
NU0	31	11.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
BETA	7	2.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
GAMMA	8	2.9	1	12.5	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
NU1	21	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ALPHA	7	2.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
DELTA	29	10.4	7	24.1	2.5	7	24.1	2.5
CHI	36	12.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU4	21	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU2	31	11.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ZETA	7	2.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU3	21	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
UNNAMED	52	18.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
EPSILON	7	2.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	278	100.0	8	2.9	2.9	7	2.5	2.5

¹ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)




Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations													Total	Mean (°)
	NU0	BETA	GAMMA	NU1	ALPHA	DELTA	CHI	NU4	NU2	ZETA	NU3	UNNAMED	EPSILON		
1	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	42.82
2	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	47.97
3	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	43.22
4	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	42.11
5	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	46.69
6	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	41.62
7	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	40.14
8	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	42.47
9	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	39.3
10	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	46.42
11	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	39.73
12	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	38.91
13	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	41.86

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints													
NU0	BETA	GAMMA	NU1	ALPHA	DELTA	CHI	NU4	NU2	ZETA	NU3	UNNAMED	EPSILON	TOTAL
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹ Number of models with violations


10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models ¹	Mean	SD ²	Median
(1,226)	1:15:A:C:C5'	1:15:A:C:C4'	1:15:A:C:C3'	1:15:A:C:O3'	13	52.15	3.39	50.84
(1,212)	1:26:A:C:C5'	1:26:A:C:C4'	1:26:A:C:C3'	1:26:A:C:O3'	13	47.55	2.0	47.94
(1,220)	1:9:A:C:C5'	1:9:A:C:C4'	1:9:A:C:C3'	1:9:A:C:O3'	13	45.14	9.01	40.88
(1,224)	1:13:A:C:C5'	1:13:A:C:C4'	1:13:A:C:C3'	1:13:A:C:O3'	13	41.1	1.69	41.76
(1,232)	1:21:A:U:C5'	1:21:A:U:C4'	1:21:A:U:C3'	1:21:A:U:O3'	13	37.6	3.23	37.87
(1,219)	1:8:A:A:C5'	1:8:A:A:C4'	1:8:A:A:C3'	1:8:A:A:O3'	13	34.63	4.51	34.46
(1,227)	1:16:A:A:C5'	1:16:A:A:C4'	1:16:A:A:C3'	1:16:A:A:O3'	13	32.72	3.58	31.04
(1,271)	1:1:A:G:O5'	1:1:A:G:C5'	1:1:A:G:C4'	1:1:A:G:C3'	2	92.96	0.12	92.96

¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,271)	1:1:A:G:O5'	1:1:A:G:C5'	1:1:A:G:C4'	1:1:A:G:C3'	5	93.08
(1,271)	1:1:A:G:O5'	1:1:A:G:C5'	1:1:A:G:C4'	1:1:A:G:C3'	2	92.84
(1,220)	1:9:A:C:C5'	1:9:A:C:C4'	1:9:A:C:C3'	1:9:A:C:O3'	10	70.95
(1,226)	1:15:A:C:C5'	1:15:A:C:C4'	1:15:A:C:C3'	1:15:A:C:O3'	8	57.6
(1,226)	1:15:A:C:C5'	1:15:A:C:C4'	1:15:A:C:C3'	1:15:A:C:O3'	3	57.43
(1,226)	1:15:A:C:C5'	1:15:A:C:C4'	1:15:A:C:C3'	1:15:A:C:O3'	1	57.08
(1,226)	1:15:A:C:C5'	1:15:A:C:C4'	1:15:A:C:C3'	1:15:A:C:O3'	13	55.17
(1,220)	1:9:A:C:C5'	1:9:A:C:C4'	1:9:A:C:C3'	1:9:A:C:O3'	1	54.73
(1,226)	1:15:A:C:C5'	1:15:A:C:C4'	1:15:A:C:C3'	1:15:A:C:O3'	6	53.47
(1,220)	1:9:A:C:C5'	1:9:A:C:C4'	1:9:A:C:C3'	1:9:A:C:O3'	3	53.34