



wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report ⓘ

Mar 10, 2026 – 03:29 AM UTC

PDB ID : 2LK3 / pdb_00002lk3
BMRB ID : 17972
Title : U2/U6 Helix I
Authors : Burke, J.E.; Sashital, D.G.; Zuo, X.E.; Wang, Y.; Butcher, S.E.
Deposited on : 2011-10-03

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4-5-2 with Phenix2.0
Percentile statistics : 20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.49


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 51%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
RNA backbone	8273	777

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	24	

2 Ensemble composition and analysis ⓘ

This entry contains 10 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.

3 Entry composition [i](#)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 772 atoms, of which 261 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a RNA chain called RNA (5'-R(*GP*GP*CP*UP*UP*AP*GP*AP*UP*CP*AP*GP*AP*AP*AP*UP*GP*AP*UP*CP*AP*GP*CP*C)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	P	
1	A	24	772	230	261	95	163	23	0

4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


- Molecule 1: RNA (5'-R(*GP*GP*CP*UP*UP*AP*GP*AP*UP*CP*AP*GP*AP*AP*AP*UP*GP*AP*UP*CP*AP*GP*CP*C)-3')



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: RNA (5'-R(*GP*GP*CP*UP*UP*AP*GP*AP*UP*CP*AP*GP*AP*AP*AP*UP*GP*AP*UP*CP*AP*GP*CP*C)-3')



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	structure solution	1.1
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	2.21

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	247
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	247
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	51%

6 Model quality [i](#)

6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	511	261	261	25±3
All	All	5110	2610	2610	249

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 32.

5 of 42 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:5:U:HO2'	1:A:6:A:H8	0.84	0.91	5	3
1:A:3:C:O2'	1:A:4:U:H5'	0.82	1.74	5	10
1:A:4:U:O2	1:A:5:U:H5	0.73	1.66	7	3
1:A:14:A:H2'	1:A:15:A:O4'	0.64	1.93	7	10
1:A:15:A:H2'	1:A:16:U:O4'	0.64	1.93	8	10

6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Backbone Outliers	Pucker Outliers	Suiteness
1	A	23/24 (96%)	1±0 (4±0%)	1±0 (3±2%)	0.83±0.00
All	All	230/240 (96%)	10 (4%)	6 (3%)	0.83

The overall RNA backbone suiteness is 0.81.

All unique RNA backbone outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	6	A	10

All unique RNA pucker outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	4	U	6

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues ⓘ

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 51% for the well-defined parts and 51% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	210
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	210
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 45%, i.e. 205 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 452. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Sugar	136/264 (52%)	88/144 (61%)	48/120 (40%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	69/188 (37%)	37/116 (32%)	32/42 (76%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	205/452 (45%)	125/260 (48%)	80/162 (49%)	0/30 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules con-

taining paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, <i>ppm</i>	Expected range, <i>ppm</i>	Z-score
1	A	16	U	H1'	3.87	4.37 – 6.82	-7.0

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.2.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	37
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	37
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 8%, i.e. 36 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 452. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Sugar	6/264 (2%)	6/144 (4%)	0/120 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Base	30/188 (16%)	30/116 (26%)	0/42 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
Overall	36/452 (8%)	36/260 (14%)	0/162 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index*(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

8 NMR restraints analysis

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	304
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	91
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	134
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	5
Long range ($ i-j \geq 5$)	27
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	47
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	141
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	18.5
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	3.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	4.5	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	7.1	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	13.3	2.66

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model [i](#)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	2.3	5.0
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	1.5	18.9
>20.0 (Large)	3.9	61.66

9 Distance violation analysis ⓘ


9.1 Summary of distance violations ⓘ

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	91	29.9	1	1.1	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	3	1.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	88	28.9	1	1.1	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	134	44.1	11	8.2	3.6	3	2.2	1.0
Backbone-Backbone	14	4.6	2	14.3	0.7	1	7.1	0.3
Backbone-Sidechain	67	22.0	7	10.4	2.3	2	3.0	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	53	17.4	2	3.8	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (i-j >1 & i-j <5)	5	1.6	1	20.0	0.3	1	20.0	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	4	1.3	1	25.0	0.3	1	25.0	0.3
Long range (i-j ≥5)	27	8.9	9	33.3	3.0	8	29.6	2.6
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	9	3.0	3	33.3	1.0	3	33.3	1.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	18	5.9	6	33.3	2.0	5	27.8	1.6
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	47	15.5	9	19.1	3.0	9	19.1	3.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	304	100.0	31	10.2	10.2	21	6.9	6.9
Backbone-Backbone	17	5.6	2	11.8	0.7	1	5.9	0.3
Backbone-Sidechain	165	54.3	11	6.7	3.6	5	3.0	1.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	122	40.1	18	14.8	5.9	15	12.3	4.9

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis


9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
1	0	5	1	18	0	24	0.85	1.66	0.58	0.9
2	1	7	1	18	0	27	0.78	1.68	0.6	0.48
3	0	4	1	18	0	23	0.87	1.65	0.56	0.93
4	1	7	1	18	0	27	0.85	2.66	0.66	0.58
5	0	7	1	17	0	25	0.81	1.71	0.57	0.6
6	1	6	1	18	0	26	0.84	2.23	0.63	0.69
7	0	7	1	17	0	25	0.8	1.66	0.58	0.51
8	0	6	1	17	0	24	0.84	1.7	0.56	0.75
9	1	6	1	18	0	26	0.8	1.65	0.59	0.63
10	1	7	1	18	0	27	0.79	1.72	0.6	0.49

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 235(IR:90, SQ:123, MR:4, LR:18, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	2	20.0
0	3	0	0	0	3	3	30.0

Continued on next page...


Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	1	0	0	0	1	4	40.0
1	2	0	0	0	3	5	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	60.0
0	1	0	1	0	2	7	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	90.0
0	3	1	8	0	12	10	100.0

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,

⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble ⓘ

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models ¹	Mean (Å)	SD ¹ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,9)	1:2:A:G:O6	1:23:A:C:H42	10	1.66	0.01	1.65
(1,25)	1:7:A:G:O6	1:20:A:C:H42	10	1.62	0.02	1.63
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H21	1:6:A:A:H1'	10	1.54	0.12	1.54
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H22	1:6:A:A:H1'	10	1.54	0.12	1.54
(1,45)	1:16:A:U:O4	1:11:A:A:H62	10	1.49	0.02	1.49
(1,35)	1:9:A:U:O4	1:18:A:A:H62	10	1.47	0.01	1.47
(1,3)	1:1:A:G:O6	1:24:A:C:H42	10	1.46	0.02	1.46
(2,230)	1:21:A:A:H2	1:5:A:U:H1'	10	1.46	0.7	1.64
(1,15)	1:22:A:G:O6	1:3:A:C:H42	10	1.4	0.03	1.42
(1,39)	1:17:A:G:O6	1:10:A:C:H42	10	1.38	0.01	1.38
(1,31)	1:19:A:U:O4	1:8:A:A:H62	10	1.19	0.01	1.18

¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,230)	1:21:A:A:H2	1:5:A:U:H1'	4	2.66
(2,230)	1:21:A:A:H2	1:5:A:U:H1'	6	2.23
(2,230)	1:21:A:A:H2	1:5:A:U:H1'	10	1.72
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H21	1:6:A:A:H1'	5	1.71
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H22	1:6:A:A:H1'	5	1.71
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H21	1:6:A:A:H1'	8	1.7
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H22	1:6:A:A:H1'	8	1.7
(2,230)	1:21:A:A:H2	1:5:A:U:H1'	2	1.68
(1,9)	1:2:A:G:O6	1:23:A:C:H42	5	1.67
(2,230)	1:21:A:A:H2	1:5:A:U:H1'	1	1.66
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H21	1:6:A:A:H1'	7	1.66

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,59)	1:7:A:G:H22	1:6:A:A:H1'	7	1.66
(1,9)	1:2:A:G:O6	1:23:A:C:H42	4	1.66

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis ⓘ


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations ⓘ

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
EPSILON	14	9.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
DELTA	16	11.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI	17	12.1	1	5.9	0.7	1	5.9	0.7
NU2	16	11.3	4	25.0	2.8	3	18.8	2.1
GAMMA	17	12.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ALPHA	14	9.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
BETA	17	12.1	1	5.9	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
ZETA	14	9.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU1	16	11.3	4	25.0	2.8	3	18.8	2.1
Total	141	100.0	10	7.1	7.1	7	5.0	5.0

¹ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)




Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations										Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	EPSILON	DELTA	CHI	NU2	GAMMA	ALPHA	BETA	ZETA	NU1	Total				
1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	22.41	50.55	17.43	17.84
2	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	22.41	50.35	17.34	18.08
3	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	22.55	50.8	17.41	18.12
4	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	9	30.4	61.66	20.03	26.49
5	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	3	8	22.51	55.97	18.27	21.15
6	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	9	30.48	61.58	20.08	27.81
7	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	3	8	22.29	55.53	18.13	20.85
8	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	3	8	22.23	55.03	17.94	21.16
9	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	22.43	50.64	17.32	17.97
10	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	22.29	50.2	17.25	17.59

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model ⓘ



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints										Fraction of the ensemble	
EPSILON	DELTA	CHI	NU2	GAMMA	ALPHA	BETA	ZETA	NU1	Total	Count ¹	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10.0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	90.0
0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	10	100.0

¹ Number of models with violations


10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models ¹	Mean	SD ²	Median
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	10	53.04	2.55	52.92
(1,129)	1:13:A:A:C1'	1:13:A:A:C2'	1:13:A:A:C3'	1:13:A:A:C4'	10	43.84	0.04	43.85
(1,128)	1:13:A:A:O4'	1:13:A:A:C1'	1:13:A:A:C2'	1:13:A:A:C3'	10	22.89	0.41	22.88
(1,118)	1:6:A:A:O4'	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	10	22.09	4.39	21.62
(1,111)	1:1:A:G:C1'	1:1:A:G:C2'	1:1:A:G:C3'	1:1:A:G:C4'	10	17.89	0.64	17.63
(1,114)	1:3:A:C:O4'	1:3:A:C:C1'	1:3:A:C:C2'	1:3:A:C:C3'	10	3.17	0.5	3.02
(1,109)	1:24:A:C:O4'	1:24:A:C:C1'	1:24:A:C:N1	1:24:A:C:C2	10	2.6	1.38	1.8
(1,18)	1:4:A:U:P	1:4:A:U:O5'	1:4:A:U:C5'	1:4:A:U:C4'	3	3.7	0.03	3.68
(1,117)	1:5:A:U:C1'	1:5:A:U:C2'	1:5:A:U:C3'	1:5:A:U:C4'	2	61.62	0.04	61.62
(1,116)	1:5:A:U:O4'	1:5:A:U:C1'	1:5:A:U:C2'	1:5:A:U:C3'	2	39.61	0.44	39.61

¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,117)	1:5:A:U:C1'	1:5:A:U:C2'	1:5:A:U:C3'	1:5:A:U:C4'	4	61.66
(1,117)	1:5:A:U:C1'	1:5:A:U:C2'	1:5:A:U:C3'	1:5:A:U:C4'	6	61.58
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	5	55.97
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	6	55.97
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	7	55.53
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	4	55.37
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	8	55.03
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	3	50.8
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	9	50.64
(1,119)	1:6:A:A:C1'	1:6:A:A:C2'	1:6:A:A:C3'	1:6:A:A:C4'	1	50.55