



Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Mar 9, 2026 – 10:56 AM UTC

PDB ID : 2RN5 / pdb_00002rn5
Title : Humal Insulin Mutant B31Lys-B32Arg
Authors : Bocian, W.; Kozerski, L.
Deposited on : 2007-12-06

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4-5-2 with Phenix2.0
Percentile statistics	:	20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.49


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
Ramachandran outliers	224038	12848
Sidechain outliers	223484	12823

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	21	
2	B	32	

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 50 models. Model 5 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:2-A:21, B:1-B:29 (49)	1.23	5

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 6 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 8, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22, 23, 31, 36, 38, 40, 44, 47, 48
2	3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 15, 20, 25, 26, 33, 35, 37, 50
3	1, 11, 12, 18, 24, 27, 28, 34, 39, 42, 43
4	6, 21, 29, 49
5	13, 32, 46
6	30, 41, 45
Single-model clusters	10

3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 832 atoms, of which 407 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Insulin.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	21	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			312	99	149	25	35	4	

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Insulin.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
2	B	32	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			520	170	258	46	44	2	

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
B	31	LYS	-	expression tag	UNP P01308
B	32	ARG	-	expression tag	UNP P01308

4 Residue-property plots [i](#)


4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Insulin



- Molecule 2: Insulin




4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble


Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Insulin



- Molecule 2: Insulin



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% • 9%



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  81% 9% 9%



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% • 9%



4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  81% 9% 9%



4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.26 Score per residue for model 26


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.27 Score per residue for model 27

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  81% 9% 9%



4.2.28 Score per residue for model 28


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.29 Score per residue for model 29

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.30 Score per residue for model 30

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.31 Score per residue for model 31

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.32 Score per residue for model 32


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.33 Score per residue for model 33

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% 9%



4.2.34 Score per residue for model 34


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.35 Score per residue for model 35


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.36 Score per residue for model 36

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% • 9%



4.2.37 Score per residue for model 37


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.38 Score per residue for model 38


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.39 Score per residue for model 39


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  81% 6% 9%



4.2.40 Score per residue for model 40


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.41 Score per residue for model 41

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  88% • 9%



4.2.42 Score per residue for model 42

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin


Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.43 Score per residue for model 43


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.44 Score per residue for model 44


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.45 Score per residue for model 45

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin


Chain B:  84% 6% 9%



4.2.46 Score per residue for model 46


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.47 Score per residue for model 47

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  81% 9% 9%



4.2.48 Score per residue for model 48


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  91% 9%



4.2.49 Score per residue for model 49


- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  84% 9%



4.2.50 Score per residue for model 50

- Molecule 1: Insulin

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin

Chain B:  81% 9% 9%



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, molecular dynamics*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 50 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	9
Amber	structure solution	9

No chemical shift data was provided.

6 Model quality

6.1 Standard geometry

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z| > 5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.78±0.02	0±0/160 (0.0± 0.0%)	1.24±0.06	0±0/215 (0.0± 0.1%)
2	B	0.91±0.02	0±0/242 (0.0± 0.0%)	1.37±0.04	0±0/328 (0.0± 0.1%)
All	All	0.86	0/20100 (0.0%)	1.32	8/27150 (0.0%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
2	B	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.2
All	All	0	2

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	B	1	PHE	CA-CB-CG	7.68	121.48	113.80	9	1
2	B	29	LYS	CA-C-N	6.02	133.04	121.54	42	2
2	B	29	LYS	C-N-CA	6.02	133.04	121.54	42	2
1	A	21	ASN	CA-CB-CG	5.91	118.51	112.60	42	1
2	B	5	HIS	CA-C-N	5.41	131.88	121.54	49	1
2	B	5	HIS	C-N-CA	5.41	131.88	121.54	49	1

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
2	B	22	ARG	Sidechain	2

6.2 Too-close contacts ⓘ

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	159	144	144	0±0
2	B	234	225	225	0±0
All	All	19650	18450	18450	3

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 0.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
2:B:27:THR:H	2:B:28:PRO:CD	0.47	2.22	39	1
1:A:3:VAL:HG21	2:B:28:PRO:HA	0.46	1.87	18	1
1:A:3:VAL:HG22	2:B:11:LEU:HD21	0.41	1.91	18	1

6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	19/21 (90%)	18±1 (96±5%)	1±1 (3±4%)	0±0 (1±2%)	18	68
2	B	28/32 (88%)	26±1 (94±5%)	1±1 (4±4%)	0±1 (1±2%)	14	63
All	All	2350/2650 (89%)	2234 (95%)	92 (4%)	24 (1%)	15	65

All 9 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	2	ILE	8
2	B	2	VAL	6

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	27	THR	2
2	B	28	PRO	2
2	B	29	LYS	2
2	B	3	ASN	1
2	B	5	HIS	1
2	B	6	LEU	1
2	B	26	TYR	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	20/20 (100%)	20±0 (98±2%)	0±0 (2±2%)	46	90
2	B	25/28 (89%)	24±1 (98±3%)	1±1 (2±3%)	46	90
All	All	2250/2400 (94%)	2203 (98%)	47 (2%)	46	90

All 17 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	21	ASN	13
2	B	16	TYR	4
2	B	26	TYR	4
2	B	3	ASN	4
1	A	2	ILE	3
2	B	4	GLN	2
2	B	27	THR	2
2	B	7	CYS	2
2	B	24	PHE	2
2	B	15	LEU	2
2	B	29	LYS	2
1	A	19	TYR	2
1	A	8	THR	1
2	B	1	PHE	1
2	B	19	CYS	1
1	A	13	LEU	1
1	A	4	GLU	1

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation

No chemical shift data were provided