



wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report ⓘ

Mar 10, 2026 – 12:11 PM UTC

PDB ID : 5Z9C / pdb_00005z9c
BMRB ID : 36163
Title : Solution NMR structures of BRD4 first bromodomain with small compound MMQO
Authors : Zeng, L.; Zhou, M.-M.
Deposited on : 2018-02-02

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4-5-2 with Phenix2.0
Mogul : 2022.3.0, CSD as543be (2022)
Buster-report : wwPDB partial adaption of 1.1.7 (2018)
Percentile statistics : 20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.49


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 86%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
Ramachandran outliers	224038	12848
Sidechain outliers	223484	12823

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	116	

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 5 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:60-A:165 (106)	0.18	5

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 5 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 19, 20
2	12, 13, 18
Single-model clusters	2; 3; 9; 16; 17


3 Entry composition [i](#)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1995 atoms, of which 999 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Bromodomain-containing protein 4.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	116	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1970	639	988	161	176	6	

- Molecule 2 is 8-methoxy-6-methylquinolin-4(1H)-one (CCD ID: MQO) (formula: $C_{11}H_{11}NO_2$) (labeled as "Ligand of Interest" by depositor).




Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				
2	A	1	Total	C	H	N	O
			25	11	11	1	2

4 Residue-property plots

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


- Molecule 1: Bromodomain-containing protein 4



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 5. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: Bromodomain-containing protein 4



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	refinement	
CNS	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1437
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1437
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	86%

6 Model quality [i](#)

6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: MQO

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	896	900	899	108±5
2	A	14	11	0	4±1
All	All	18200	18220	17980	2170

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 60.

5 of 234 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:101:ILE:HD11	1:A:139:TYR:HB2	1.05	1.27	18	20
1:A:164:LEU:HD12	1:A:165:PRO:HD2	0.99	1.34	3	20
1:A:73:THR:HG22	1:A:156:LEU:HD11	0.98	1.34	4	20
1:A:66:LEU:HD13	1:A:125:CYS:HB3	0.97	1.34	8	20
1:A:73:THR:HG21	1:A:160:LYS:HE3	0.86	1.47	17	20

6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	106/116 (91%)	96±1 (90±1%)	10±1 (9±1%)	1±0 (1±0%)	26	74
All	All	2120/2320 (91%)	1911 (90%)	198 (9%)	11 (1%)	26	74

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	165	PRO	11

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	99/109 (91%)	86±3 (86±4%)	12±1 (13±1%)	6	48
All	All	1960/2180 (90%)	1711 (87%)	249 (13%)	6	47

5 of 29 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	69	VAL	20
1	A	71	LEU	20
1	A	147	VAL	20
1	A	78	GLN	19
1	A	85	GLN	19

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

1 ligand is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with $|Z| > 2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Bond lengths		
					Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	MQO	A	201	-	15,15,15	2.13±0.03	3±0 (20±0%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with $|Z| > 2$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Bond angles		
					Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	MQO	A	201	-	18,21,21	2.15±0.03	3±0 (16±0%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
2	MQO	A	201	-	-	0±0,2,2,2	0±0,2,2,2

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	A	201	MQO	CAN-CAK	6.34	1.37	1.48	4	20
2	A	201	MQO	CAI-CAK	4.18	1.37	1.46	11	20
2	A	201	MQO	CAM-NAH	2.24	1.39	1.34	6	20

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.


Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	A	201	MQO	CAA-OAE-CAL	6.34	108.22	117.51	8	20
2	A	201	MQO	OAE-CAL-CAO	5.31	119.86	115.00	16	20
2	A	201	MQO	OAE-CAL-CAJ	2.60	119.60	124.08	12	20

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

The following is a two-dimensional graphical depiction of Mogul quality analysis of bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, and ring geometry for all instances of the Ligand of Interest. In addition, ligands with molecular weight > 250 and outliers as shown on the validation Tables will also be included. For torsion angles, if less than 5% of the Mogul distribution of torsion angles is within 10 degrees of the torsion angle in question, then that torsion angle is considered an outlier. Any bond that is central to one or more torsion angles identified as an outlier by Mogul will be highlighted in the graph. For rings, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) between the ring in question and similar rings identified by Mogul is calculated over all ring torsion angles. If the average RMSD is greater than 60 degrees and the minimal RMSD between the ring in question and any Mogul-identified rings is also greater than 60 degrees, then that ring is considered an outlier. The outliers are highlighted in purple. The color gray indicates Mogul did not find sufficient equivalents in the CSD to analyse the geometry.



6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 86% for the well-defined parts and 84% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1437
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1437
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	11

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	115	-0.62 ± 0.24	Should be checked
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	113	0.26 ± 0.15	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	106	0.58 ± 0.36	None needed (imprecise)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 1340 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1559. 0 out of 18 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	412/520 (79%)	207/208 (100%)	106/212 (50%)	99/100 (99%)
Sidechain	785/882 (89%)	527/572 (92%)	244/277 (88%)	14/33 (42%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Aromatic	143/157 (91%)	73/75 (97%)	67/77 (87%)	3/5 (60%)
Overall	1340/1559 (86%)	807/855 (94%)	417/566 (74%)	116/138 (84%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	82	PRO	HG2	-0.71	0.41 – 3.45	-8.7
1	A	84	GLN	HG2	0.23	1.01 – 3.62	-8.0
1	A	154	GLU	HB2	0.53	1.00 – 3.05	-7.3
1	A	82	PRO	HB2	-0.23	0.37 – 3.78	-6.8
1	A	97	TYR	HD1	5.06	5.49 – 8.39	-6.5
1	A	97	TYR	HD2	5.08	5.48 – 8.39	-6.4
1	A	82	PRO	HD2	1.73	1.93 – 5.38	-5.6
1	A	87	VAL	HG21	-0.62	-0.58 – 2.19	-5.1
1	A	87	VAL	HG22	-0.62	-0.58 – 2.19	-5.1
1	A	87	VAL	HG23	-0.62	-0.58 – 2.19	-5.1
1	A	111	LYS	HD2	0.56	0.58 – 2.64	-5.1

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



8 NMR restraints analysis

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	2836
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	1136
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	496
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	481
Long range ($ i-j \geq 5$)	561
Inter-chain	29
Hydrogen bond restraints	133
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	218
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	26.1
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	5.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	187.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	108.5	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	83.4	3.79

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model [i](#)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	5.5	7.48
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None

9 Distance violation analysis ⓘ


9.1 Summary of distance violations ⓘ

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	1136	40.1	232	20.4	8.2	97	8.5	3.4
Backbone-Backbone	97	3.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	589	20.8	147	25.0	5.2	59	10.0	2.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	450	15.9	85	18.9	3.0	38	8.4	1.3
Sequential (i-j =1)	496	17.5	88	17.7	3.1	27	5.4	1.0
Backbone-Backbone	151	5.3	2	1.3	0.1	2	1.3	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	246	8.7	45	18.3	1.6	14	5.7	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	99	3.5	41	41.4	1.4	11	11.1	0.4
Medium range (i-j >1 & i-j <5)	481	17.0	136	28.3	4.8	52	10.8	1.8
Backbone-Backbone	116	4.1	4	3.4	0.1	2	1.7	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	227	8.0	77	33.9	2.7	27	11.9	1.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	138	4.9	55	39.9	1.9	23	16.7	0.8
Long range (i-j ≥5)	561	19.8	133	23.7	4.7	63	11.2	2.2
Backbone-Backbone	10	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	189	6.7	35	18.5	1.2	15	7.9	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	362	12.8	98	27.1	3.5	48	13.3	1.7
Inter-chain	29	1.0	8	27.6	0.3	3	10.3	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1	0.0	1	100.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	28	1.0	7	25.0	0.2	3	10.7	0.1
Hydrogen bond	133	4.7	8	6.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	2836	100.0	605	21.3	21.3	242	8.5	8.5
Backbone-Backbone	374	13.2	6	1.6	0.2	4	1.1	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	1367	48.2	308	22.5	10.9	115	8.4	4.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	1095	38.6	291	26.6	10.3	123	11.2	4.3

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
1	152	46	90	103	4	395	0.35	1.84	0.35	0.2
2	150	49	91	91	7	388	0.37	3.06	0.37	0.2
3	149	52	90	98	5	394	0.37	3.31	0.38	0.2
4	150	47	82	97	5	381	0.36	1.71	0.34	0.21
5	149	47	83	96	5	380	0.36	1.87	0.35	0.2
6	152	50	92	98	5	397	0.36	1.83	0.34	0.2
7	150	49	80	92	5	376	0.34	1.82	0.33	0.19
8	149	53	94	100	6	402	0.36	1.88	0.36	0.2
9	143	47	94	97	5	386	0.37	1.83	0.36	0.21
10	152	50	93	97	5	397	0.37	3.09	0.37	0.21

Continued on next page...


Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
11	155	53	83	94	8	393	0.36	2.12	0.36	0.2
12	150	52	85	96	4	387	0.33	1.69	0.32	0.19
13	144	51	80	94	3	372	0.36	2.49	0.35	0.2
14	151	53	85	96	5	390	0.36	3.07	0.37	0.2
15	153	60	90	90	5	398	0.42	3.79	0.45	0.22
16	155	55	85	91	6	392	0.36	2.72	0.37	0.21
17	156	48	83	95	5	387	0.36	3.1	0.37	0.2
18	148	48	88	97	5	386	0.34	1.71	0.33	0.2
19	153	58	88	93	6	398	0.36	2.87	0.37	0.2
20	152	50	91	97	5	395	0.35	2.03	0.34	0.2

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,

⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model ⓘ



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 2106(IR:904, SQ:408, MR:345, LR:428, IC:21) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total	Count ⁶	%
21	11	12	14	1	59	1	5.0
13	11	4	8	0	36	2	10.0
9	2	7	4	0	22	3	15.0
13	2	9	4	0	28	4	20.0
3	2	5	4	1	15	5	25.0
8	5	2	2	0	17	6	30.0
5	2	3	1	0	11	7	35.0
6	2	6	2	0	16	8	40.0
5	1	2	1	0	9	9	45.0
7	1	2	1	1	12	10	50.0
2	2	5	2	1	12	11	55.0
10	2	0	1	0	13	12	60.0
5	3	7	3	0	18	13	65.0
3	1	3	1	0	8	14	70.0
2	1	3	4	0	10	15	75.0
5	3	4	3	0	15	16	80.0
5	4	5	3	1	18	17	85.0
5	2	2	1	0	10	18	90.0
8	4	3	11	0	26	19	95.0
97	27	52	63	3	242	20	100.0

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,

⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations


9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models ¹	Mean (Å)	SD ¹ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1341)	1:53:A:PRO:HD3	1:54:A:ASN:HB2	20	2.07	0.58	1.83
(1,290)	1:71:A:LEU:HA	1:74:A:LEU:HB3	20	1.71	0.02	1.71
(1,1565)	1:64:A:GLN:HB3	1:60:A:THR:HG21	20	1.67	0.05	1.68
(1,1600)	1:160:A:LYS:HB2	1:69:A:VAL:HG11	20	1.62	0.03	1.62
(1,730)	1:164:A:LEU:HD21	1:165:A:PRO:HD3	20	1.61	0.04	1.6
(1,682)	1:71:A:LEU:HD21	1:75:A:TRP:HB3	20	1.48	0.1	1.49
(1,1659)	1:74:A:LEU:HB2	1:107:A:MET:HE1	20	1.48	0.02	1.49
(1,2081)	1:147:A:VAL:H	1:148:A:LEU:HB3	20	1.48	0.02	1.48
(1,380)	1:151:A:GLU:HB3	1:148:A:LEU:HD21	20	1.2	0.02	1.2
(1,1439)	1:160:A:LYS:HE3	1:159:A:GLN:HB2	20	1.17	0.04	1.19

¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,258)	1:91:A:LYS:HA	1:90:A:VAL:HG21	15	3.79
(1,1611)	1:91:A:LYS:HG3	1:90:A:VAL:HG21	15	3.37
(1,1364)	1:61:A:ASN:HD22	1:167:A:GLU:HG3	3	3.31
(1,1341)	1:53:A:PRO:HD3	1:54:A:ASN:HB2	17	3.1
(1,1341)	1:53:A:PRO:HD3	1:54:A:ASN:HB2	10	3.09
(1,1341)	1:53:A:PRO:HD3	1:54:A:ASN:HB2	14	3.07
(1,1341)	1:53:A:PRO:HD3	1:54:A:ASN:HB2	2	3.06
(1,1610)	1:91:A:LYS:HB3	1:90:A:VAL:HG21	15	3.04
(1,1364)	1:61:A:ASN:HD22	1:167:A:GLU:HG3	19	2.87
(1,1364)	1:61:A:ASN:HD22	1:167:A:GLU:HG3	16	2.72

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis [i](#)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
PHI	109	50.0	8	7.3	3.7	2	1.8	0.9
PSI	109	50.0	7	6.4	3.2	1	0.9	0.5
Total	218	100.0	15	6.9	6.9	3	1.4	1.4

¹ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)




Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	PHI	PSI	Total				
1	2	3	5	2.89	5.03	1.34	3.02
2	3	3	6	2.5	5.55	1.43	1.99
3	3	1	4	3.56	7.48	2.32	2.65
4	4	3	7	2.89	6.92	1.78	2.22
5	5	2	7	2.71	5.99	1.84	1.83
6	3	1	4	3.22	5.86	1.64	2.76
7	4	2	6	2.65	5.0	1.53	1.95
8	5	2	7	2.44	5.52	1.43	1.83
9	5	1	6	2.35	6.08	1.73	1.81
10	2	2	4	3.08	4.25	1.0	3.09
11	5	1	6	2.87	5.0	1.3	2.41
12	4	1	5	3.58	6.74	1.83	2.32
13	4	1	5	3.15	5.47	1.6	2.25
14	5	2	7	3.09	6.8	1.87	2.9
15	4	1	5	2.76	6.12	1.76	2.08
16	3	2	5	2.78	5.69	1.62	2.82
17	4	2	6	2.9	6.18	1.64	2.1
18	3	2	5	2.45	6.13	1.87	1.38
19	2	1	3	3.77	4.9	0.9	3.73
20	4	2	6	3.21	5.4	1.55	3.0

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count ¹	%
2	2	4	1	5.0
1	1	2	2	10.0
0	2	2	3	15.0
0	0	0	4	20.0
0	1	1	5	25.0
1	0	1	6	30.0
0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	11	55.0


Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count ¹	%
2	0	2	12	60.0
0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	19	95.0
2	1	3	20	100.0

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble ⓘ

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models ¹	Mean	SD ²	Median
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	20	5.81	0.77	5.78
(1,95)	1:102:A:LYS:C	1:103:A:THR:N	1:103:A:THR:CA	1:103:A:THR:C	20	3.47	0.95	3.6
(1,101)	1:105:A:MET:C	1:106:A:ASP:N	1:106:A:ASP:CA	1:106:A:ASP:C	20	2.45	0.45	2.45
(1,87)	1:98:A:TYR:C	1:99:A:LYS:N	1:99:A:LYS:CA	1:99:A:LYS:C	12	1.85	0.33	1.84
(1,169)	1:142:A:PRO:C	1:143:A:GLY:N	1:143:A:GLY:CA	1:143:A:GLY:C	12	1.35	0.28	1.27
(1,81)	1:95:A:PRO:C	1:96:A:ASP:N	1:96:A:ASP:CA	1:96:A:ASP:C	6	1.38	0.33	1.25
(1,4)	1:56:A:PRO:N	1:56:A:PRO:CA	1:56:A:PRO:C	1:57:A:LYS:N	5	1.69	0.38	1.83
(1,218)	1:167:A:GLU:N	1:167:A:GLU:CA	1:167:A:GLU:C	1:168:A:GLU:N	3	1.59	0.21	1.59
(1,30)	1:69:A:VAL:N	1:69:A:VAL:CA	1:69:A:VAL:C	1:70:A:VAL:N	3	1.34	0.08	1.4
(1,49)	1:78:A:GLN:C	1:79:A:PHE:N	1:79:A:PHE:CA	1:79:A:PHE:C	2	2.76	1.31	2.76

¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	3	7.48
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	4	6.92
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	14	6.8
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	12	6.74
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	17	6.18
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	18	6.13
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	15	6.12
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	9	6.08
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	5	5.99
(1,98)	1:104:A:PRO:N	1:104:A:PRO:CA	1:104:A:PRO:C	1:105:A:MET:N	6	5.86